NSF REVIEW TEMPLATE

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **RFP#** | NSF XX-XXX | **NSF PROPOSAL NUMBER** | XXXXXXX  |
|  |
| 1. **BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION**
 |
| Enter (paragraph(s)) text here. Cell will auto-expand. |
| 1. **INTELLECTUAL MERIT:** The following evaluation provides a review of the proposed project’s strengths and weaknesses with respect to intellectual merit in terms of the (a) Project Rationale, (b) Project Design, (c) Evaluation and External Review, (d) Project Management, and (f) Prior NSF support (if applicable).
 |
|  | 1. Strengths:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
|  | 1. Weaknesses:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
|  | 1. Prior NSF support:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
| 1. **BROADER IMPACTS:** The following evaluation provides a review of the proposed project’s strengths and weaknesses with respect to the broader impacts of the project in terms of the (a) Significance, (b) Dissemination Plan, (c) Participation, (d) Project Management, (e) Post-doctoral Mentoring Plan (if applicable), and (f) Data Management Plan.
 |
|  | 1. Strengths:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
|  | 1. Weaknesses:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
| 1. **POST DOCTORAL MENTORING PLAN (if applicable)**
 |
| Enter (paragraph(s)) text here. Cell will auto-expand. |
| 1. **DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN (security and data sharing)**
 |
| Enter (paragraph(s)) text here. Cell will auto-expand. |
| 1. **SPECIAL REVIEW CRITERIA (as applicable)**:
 |
|  | 1. Strengths:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
|  | 1. Weaknesses:
 |
|  | * Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
* Enter text here. Cell will auto-expand.
 |
| 1. **SUMMARY STATEMENT**
 |
| Enter (paragraph(s)) text here. Cell will auto-expand. |
| Please provide your determination using one the following interval ratings: Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair, or Poor. **Please indicate only a single rating in FastLane.** |
| [ ]  | **Excellent** Outstanding proposal in all respects; deserves highest priority for support. |
| [ ]  | **Very Good** High quality proposal in nearly all respects; should be supported if at all possible. |
| [ ]  | **Good** A quality proposal, worthy of support. |
| [ ]  | **Fair** Proposal lacking in one or more critical aspects; key issues need to be addressed. |
| [ ]  | **Poor** Proposal has serious deficiencies. |